EXHIBIT 1

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 2 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 1

	- Luge
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION
3	AUSIIN DIVISION
	UMG RECORDINGS, INC., et al.,)
4) Plaintiffs,)
5)
6	vs.) Case No.) 1:17-cv-00365-LY
7	GRANDE COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS) LLC,)
)
8	Defendant.))
9	
10	
11	**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**
12	
13	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF GREGORY H. BOSWELL
14	30(b)(6) REPRESENTATIVE FOR RIGHTSCORP, INC.
15	Santa Monica, California
16	
17	Wednesday, August 8, 2018
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 3 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 179

		1 dgc 1/3
1	A	Do not know.
2		Rough ballpark?
	Q	
3	A	Do not know.
4	Q	I'll ask one more.
5		Within the last few years, or has it been
6	quite so	ome time?
7	A	Jeff would have been a few years ago.
8		Victor Vincent. It's not Victor.
9	Q	I'm sorry.
10	А	It's Vincent.
11	Q	Thank you.
12	А	Vincent, probably at the end of last year.
13	Q	So in 2012 or 2013, Rightscorp transitioned
14	to using	g Audible Magic to do its song matching; is
15	that cor	rrect?
16	А	Uh-huh.
17	Q	And is Audible Magic a commercial solution?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	And so does Rightscorp pay money to
20	Audible	Magic to perform those services for it?
21	A	Well, we're going to have to say did
22	Audible	Magic pay. Yes.
23	Q	Thank you. Thank you.
24	А	It did.
25	Q	And would you describe for me how the

1 Rightscorp system utilized Audible Magic to do its 2. file matching, song matching. 3 So, again, we're at that point. We've got 4 this blind -- you have got this blind file. We put 5 it into the system. We say, "Tell us what this file 6 is." 7 That company's third-party software told us 8 what the file was and came back with an XML saying 9 "I think it's this artist and this song." And 10 that's what we got. 11 So then -- I'll wait. Did it always only return one result or 12 Q 13 hit? 14 Α No. 15 Sometimes there were more than one? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 0 How many were the maximum number that you 18 ever saw? Don't know. 19 Α 2.0 Q Can you give me a ballpark? 21 Don't know. I don't remember. Α 2.2 More than ten? 0 23 Α Some of the older songs, maybe. 24 Q What about newer songs? 25 Α Not as much.

1	Q More than five?
2	A Yes. It could have been.
3	But what it would come back with is usually
4	the same song name, maybe alternate spellings or
5	maybe a different performer.
6	The song name never varied much unless
7	there was an alternative spelling or "featuring
8	this" or "featuring that" or something of that
9	nature.
10	Q And when you say "different performer," you
11	mean perhaps an original artist and then some cover
12	of it?
13	A Oh, pick a song that everyone's done. And,
14	you know
15	Q "Happy Birthday."
16	A "Happy Birthday."
17	You know, something that maybe Nirvana's
18	done or ZZ Top has done and then they did with David
19	Bowie or Queen and David Bowie. Basic. Same sort
20	of thing. It's still you know, "I've Got to Be
21	Free, but "I've Got to Be Free" with Queen or "I've
22	Got to Be Free" with David Bowie and Queen.
23	Q So what would the Rightscorp system do to
24	determine which of those hits was the one to use?
25	A Well, it would take the closest what it

1 would do -- we haven't gotten even to that point 2. yet. 3 It would take the one that it thought was 4 probably the best match and put it in as a name in 5 that field. 6 So we have a song name and an artist that 7 we've identified for this song. All right? 8 So it would take what I think you 9 identified as the blob, the song -- the file that we 10 don't really know what it is --11 Α Right. 12 -- and the Rightscorp system would then Q 13 populate that file name with the information it 14 received from Audible Magic? 15 Α Correct. 16 Q And it picked the one that it thought was 17 the best option? 18 Α Yes. 19 And what criterion did it use to --Q 2.0 Α I think --21 MR. O'BEIRNE: Hold on. 2.2. BY MR. BROPHY: 23 What criterion did it use to make that 0 24 determination? 25 MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: It's never asked of us. 1 2. BY MR. BROPHY: 3 And that -- just to be clear, that material Q 4 is part of the SQL Rightscorp database? 5 It is data in the database. Α 6 Q Thank you. 7 How far back do the records go in the 8 version of the SQL database that Rightscorp 9 currently maintains? 10 Α 2011, roughly. 11 Q And did Rightscorp maintain the changes to 12 that database? 13 There is no SQL database. No SQL version Α 14 system. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 16 2:37 p.m. 17 (Recess.) 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 19 record at 3:01 p.m. This is Disc 4 of the 2.0 deposition of Gregory Boswell. 2.1 BY MR. BROPHY: 2.2. Before the break, we were talking about the 23 various ways in which Rightscorp conducts a matching 24 between the files it finds on the Internet and its 25 clients who copyrighted the works. And you had

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 8 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 195

1	introduced a company named Audible Magic.
2	Do you recall that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What do you know about how Audible Magic
5	works?
6	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Outside the
7	scope.
8	You can answer to the extent you know.
9	THE WITNESS: Audible Magic somehow
10	acquires the information from some source. I don't
11	know.
12	BY MR. BROPHY:
13	Q So when Rightscorp would operate its system
14	and rely on Audible Magic to do this matching, is my
15	understanding correct that the actual file that
16	Rightscorp downloaded is then forwarded to
17	Audible Magic for analysis?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay. Can you do you have some
20	understanding of how that part of the process works?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And what's that understanding?
23	A Okay. So, again, backing up to the
24	process. For the Audible Magic's method is you
25	download the code I lost my tongue during the

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 9 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 196

Fax: 314.644.1334

break, guys. 1 2. Remember, we go download the sample GUID 3 onto a computer -- sample GUID from the music database is downloaded onto a Windows box. There is 4 5 a binary from Audible Magic, executable, and the 6 code would say -- a piece of code would fire off and 7 say, "Audible Magic, analyze this." 8 Now, Audible Magic would not -- it would 9 not be transmitted back to Audible Magic. It would 10 digest the song -- whatever the file is, digest it 11 with some form of proprietary methodology and return 12 an answer. 13 Q So your understanding is that the 14 Audible Magic program that resides on Rightscorp's 15 server performs some proprietary analysis and then 16 compares the results of that analysis to a database 17 that it has offsite. Is that correct? 18 Α To the best of my knowledge, yes. 19 How long does that process take for Q 2.0 Audible Magic to perform an analysis on a single 21 song? 2.2 A second or two. Α 23 You indicated that at some point in time Q 24 Rightscorp transitioned to using another resource

for conducting that matching.

25

1	What is the name of that other source?
2	A AcoustID.
3	Q And what is AcoustID?
4	A It is an open source identification tool
5	for matching content.
6	Q When did Rightscorp first begin using
7	AcoustID?
8	A I think I spoke to that before. I don't
9	recall the exact date.
10	Q Rough.
11	A We're probably talking 2013 again, 2014.
12	Q Was there any period of time during which
13	AcoustID and Audible Magic were being used at the
14	same time?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What time frame?
17	A Again, the 2013, 2014 time frame.
18	Q So after 2014, was Rightscorp exclusively
19	using AcoustID?
20	A I believe so. Somewhere after that time
21	frame, yeah.
22	Q Give me just one moment. Apologies.
23	Is there any information that was produced
24	by Rightscorp in this case that would allow us to
25	nail down the specific date on which AcoustID began

1	being used?
2	A At this moment in time, I do not recall the
3	exact time it was being used. I may have spoke to
4	that before.
5	Q But is there anything that Rightscorp has
6	in its possession or has produced in this case that
7	would allow Grande to make a determination of when
8	that switch-over occurred?
9	A No.
10	Q Can you tell me how the AcoustID pardon
11	me. Start over.
12	Can you tell me how Rightscorp uses
13	AcoustID to perform song matching?
14	A In the same way, almost identically, that
15	we used Audible Magic.
16	Q Was the same server used to perform the
17	Audible Magic matching also used to perform the
18	AcoustID matching?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And was the same source code or program

No. Not the same source code.

file responsible for running the AcoustID song

So what's the name of the source code or

responsible for running that matching?

21

22

23

24

25

Q

matching?

It would be AcoustID, I think.

Fax: 314.644.1334

- 2. And when Rightscorp run the -- let me start Q 3 over. 4 Similarly to Audible Magic, did Rightscorp 5 also have some AcoustID program that ran internally 6 at Rightscorp? 7 Can you be --Α 8 MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague. 9 BY MR. BROPHY: 10 You described with the Audible Magic that 0 11 there was a program that resided on Rightscorp's 12 servers that Rightscorp would invoke to conduct this
- And my question is: Was there something
- similar to that with respect to the AcoustID
- 16 capability?

1

13

Α

17 A Yes.

matching.

- 18 MR. O'BEIRNE: Oh, I'm sorry. Objection.
- 19 Misstates testimony.
- 20 Go ahead.
- 21 BY MR. BROPHY:
- 22 Q Can you just describe at a very high level
- 23 how that worked.
- 24 A Exactly as AcoustID -- exactly as
- 25 Audible Magic. Download a blind sample, tell it to

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 13 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 200

1	
1	process it, sends the data off to the servers.
2	Servers come back and say, "This is what we think it
3	is."
4	Q And would you get multiple hits from
5	AcoustID?
6	A Yes.
7	Q How many? Or let me
8	What was the range?
9	A Between
10	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague.
11	THE WITNESS: Between zero and five or six.
12	BY MR. BROPHY:
13	Q How did Rightscorp go about determining
14	which of the results to go with?
15	A As with AcoustID, matched what was closest
16	to the file.
17	Q I'm sorry. When you say "the file," you
18	mean the alphanumeric name?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What type of algorithm did Rightscorp
21	utilize to determine which result was closest to the
22	track information and the track table?
23	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague and
24	confusing.
25	THE WITNESS: I think we did a match
	THE WITHEST. I CHILIR WE ALA A MACCH
I	

against in an SQL table. 1 2. BY MR. BROPHY: 3 Q And you say "match against." Is that a 4 defined standard --5 Yes. Α 6 -- function within the SQL database Q 7 software? Yes. 8 Α 9 And I'm sorry. Once again, that was Q 10 match --11 Α Against. 12 Q Against. 13 And you would pass in to match against both 14 the alphanumeric string returned from AcoustID and 15 the string from the tracks table; is that correct? 16 Α I believe so. 17 And would Rightscorp run that match against 0 18 for all the results from AcoustID and pick the one 19 that was the highest match? 2.0 MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague. 21 THE WITNESS: If there was only one match, 22 which usually there is, we'd only pick the top one, 23 first one. 24 BY MR. BROPHY: 25 If there were four or five, how would that

1	work?
2	A I would probably pick the first one with
3	the highest response rate.
4	Q And so that match-against function from the
5	SQL system, would it return some kind of
6	percentage-based match?
7	A No. Just one for the first one that
8	returned.
9	Q I'm sorry. When Rightscorp would run the
10	match-against function, would it pass in for a
11	single run of that match against all of the results
12	from AcoustID in addition to the track database
13	names or one at a time?
14	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague and
15	compound.
16	THE WITNESS: Now you are so far down in
17	the weeds that I couldn't tell you off my memory.
18	BY MR. BROPHY:
19	Q Is there information produced in this case
20	from which Grande can understand how that
21	functionality was operating in 2014?
22	A Should be.
23	Q And was Rightscorp able to produce in this
24	case the 2014 version of the AcoustID code?
25	A I believe so.

1 Will you agree with me that the AcoustID 2 system could and did return hits, multiple hits, 3 where each one was potentially sung by a different 4 artist? Correct. 5 Α 6 MR. O'BEIRNE: I'm sorry. Objection. 7 Form. 8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 9 BY MR. BROPHY: 10 And Rightscorp would -- the Rightscorp Q 11 system would determine which of those it considered 12 to be the best match; is that correct? 13 MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Vague. 14 THE WITNESS: Which would be the best match 15 to the torrent file. 16 BY MR. BROPHY: 17 0 And then what would the Rightscorp system 18 do next? 19 For example, if it was a Nirvana torrent 2.0 and it was Nirvana songs and we matched and it came 21 back with a hit for a Nirvana song, we would put 22 that name and song -- that Nirvana group artist and 23 that song into the tracks -- the track files field 24 that correlated to that torrent hash, that song 25 entry, and that binary key.

- 1 has no bit field. This process compares it, says, 2. Nothing is generated." 3 69 - 169 - 192.168.2.1 comes through, and 4 it has a full -- bit full payload for that torrent 5 hash. And it goes through and compares and 6 populates. 7 10.0.0.1 comes through, and it's got these 8 ten here, these seven here, these four here. 9 compares. Where it gets a match, it populates; 10 where it doesn't get a match, it doesn't. 11 Q In that last example when you say "it gets 12 a match," is it matching the portions of the bit 13 field reported by the BitTorrent client as being 14 available with the portions of the bit field that 15 relate to songs that Rightscorp is attempting to
- 17 A Yes.

find?

16

- 18 Q And that exercise that you just described 19 results in detections; is that correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 O And those detections are saved where?
- 22 A They are saved originally in the expanded
- 23 II file. Then they are moved to temporary holding.
- 24 Q Do they go anywhere after that?
- 25 A Yes, they do.

1	file name and not mention the rest of it?
2	A All right. I'm a bit confused about your
3	question.
4	Q Sure.
5	So I asked you what the name of the song
6	is, the song name associated with the
7	A Right. My
8	Q Let me just finish.
9	the song name associated with the
10	copyright that's at issue in this notice.
11	A "Planetary (GO!) Vazquez-Gorman Remix."
12	Q Okay. And if you look at the first page of
13	Exhibit 5, there's a file name there.
14	Why did you focus on the "Planetary (GO!)
15	Vazquez-Gorman Remix" section and ignore the
16	remainder?
17	A So remember when we were talking about the
18	identification tools? This is how it was
19	identified, as "Planetary (GO!) Vazquez-Gorman
20	Remix."
21	The file name is "demo2012.01.16 - The Kids
22	From Yesterday06, Planetary (GO!)." Boom.
23	Q If an ISP wanted to, how would it go about
24	determining whether this is a legitimate notice
25	relating to an instance of actual infringement?

Case 1:17-cv-00365-LY-AWA Document 201-2 Filed 10/01/18 Page 19 of 20

GREGORY H. BOSWELL **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY** 8/8/2018

Page 350

1	MR. O'BEIRNE: Hold on.
2	Objection. Vague.
3	THE WITNESS: How would they decide to do
4	that? If an ISP wanted to contact us and work with
5	us and get some deeper information, I'm sure it can
6	be done.
7	BY MR. BROPHY:
8	Q How?
9	A By contacting us and saying "can you
10	provide some information." But then now I'm passing
11	my scope of business. I'm technical.
12	Q So are you aware of any way other than
13	approaching Rightscorp that an ISP could verify the
14	accuracy of the infringement notice identified as
15	Exhibit 5?
16	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Scope.
17	THE WITNESS: Right now? No.
18	BY MR. BROPHY:
19	Q How would an ISP go about identifying the
20	registration number for the copyright that is
21	alleged to have been infringed by Exhibit 5?
22	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Outside the
23	scope.
24	THE WITNESS: Definitely outside my scope.
25	BY MR. BROPHY:

1	Q Are you aware of any way?
2	MR. O'BEIRNE: Same objection.
3	THE WITNESS: Outside my scope.
4	BY MR. BROPHY:
5	Q Is that a "no"?
6	MR. O'BEIRNE: Same objection.
7	THE WITNESS: I'm not a legal DMCA lawyer.
8	I'm not a copyrights lawyer.
9	BY MR. BROPHY:
10	Q So I'm just asking if you have any personal
11	knowledge of a way an ISP could identify the
12	specific copyright or registration associated with
13	this notice of infringement.
14	MR. O'BEIRNE: Objection. Outside the
15	scope.
16	THE WITNESS: Well, do I have any personal
17	knowledge that hasn't been tried or ever used or
18	even thought of? No, I have never tried to
19	personally do it myself. So I don't have any
20	personal knowledge.
21	BY MR. BROPHY:
22	Q I have maybe just two more quick lines of
23	questioning, and then I'll be wrapping up.
24	A All right.
25	MR. O'BEIRNE: I think we're under on time,